

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 1O. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/608,749 | | 06/26/2003 | Ford B. Grigg | 2269-4211.6US (99-0218.06 | 7193 | |
| 24247 | 7590 | 06/08/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 | | | | TENTONI, LEO B | | |
| | SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1732 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | - , | | |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------|
| , | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 19 |
| | Office Action Summan | 10/608,749 | GRIGG ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Leo B. Tentoni | 1732 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | appears on the cover s | heet with the correspondence add | ress |
| THE - External control | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minimu od will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be | r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coresione ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 | September 2003. | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allow | vance except for forma | al matters, prosecution as to the | merits is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19: | 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from considerati | on. | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | l/or election requireme | ent. | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | |
| 9)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ | objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in | abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the at | tached Office Action or form PTC |)-1 52 . |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of: | - | .,,,, | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr | | | tage |
| * < | application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a li | • | • | |
| | the attached detailed Office action for a li- | st of the certified copie | es not received. | |
| Attachmen | (s) | | | |
|) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗍 Inte | erview Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Pag | per No(s)/Mail Date | |
| o) 🔼 Inforr Pape | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- No(s)/Mail Date <u>06262003;12122003</u> . | | ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 er: | .52) |

Application/Control Number: 10/608,749

Art Unit: 1732

Ē

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, the status of parent Application No. 09/736,624 should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 5-12 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 and 18-23 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,585,927 (issued from parent Application No. 09/736,624). This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,749

Art Unit: 1732

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer

signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-4 and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,927. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the aspects of unconsolidated material and consolidating would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made principally because U.S. Patent 6,585,927 claims unconsolidated photopolymer (which is an example of unconsolidated material) and curing (which is an example of consolidating).
- 6. Claims 14-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,927. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize a location and an orientation of the at least one substrate principally in order to fabricate the mark at the appropriate spot on the substrate.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt